

# MHF Preprint Series

Kyushu University  
21st Century COE Program  
Development of Dynamic Mathematics with  
High Functionality

A computational approach  
to constructive a priori and  
a posteriori error estimates  
for finite element approximations  
of bi-harmonic problems

M.T. Nakao, K. Hashimoto  
K. Nagatou

MHF 2005-29

( Received September 15, 2005 )

Faculty of Mathematics  
Kyushu University  
Fukuoka, JAPAN

# A computational approach to constructive a priori and a posteriori error estimates for finite element approximations of bi-harmonic problems\*

Mitsuhiro T. Nakao<sup>†1</sup> Kouji Hashimoto<sup>‡2</sup> Kaori Nagatou<sup>‡3</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan.

<sup>‡</sup>Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan.

## Abstract

In the numerical verification method of solutions for nonlinear fourth order elliptic equations, it is important to find a constant in the constructive a priori and a posteriori error estimates for the finite element approximation of bi-harmonic problems. We show these procedures by verified computational techniques using the Hermite spline functions for two dimensional rectangular domain. Several numerical examples which confirm the actual effectiveness of the method are presented.

**Key words:** bi-harmonic problems, constructive a priori estimate, a posteriori estimate

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the guaranteed error bounds of the finite element approximations for the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 u &= f && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= \partial_n u = 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  with a rectangular domain  $\Omega$  in  $R^2$ , and  $\partial_n u$  denotes the outer normal derivative of  $u$ .

### 1.1 Notations

In the below, setting  $\Omega := (0, 1)^2$  for simplicity, we denote the  $L^2$  inner product on  $\Omega$  by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2}$  and the norm by  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ . We also denote the usual  $k$ -th order  $L^2$  Sobolev space on  $\Omega$  by  $H^k(\Omega)$  for any positive integer  $k$  as well as the space  $H_0^2(\Omega)$  by

$$H_0^2(\Omega) := \{v \in H^2(\Omega) : v = \partial_n v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}.$$

Let  $S_h \subset H_0^2(\Omega)$  be a finite element subspace, under some rectangular mesh, which is spanned by two dimensional Hermite spline functions  $\{\phi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  with homogeneous boundary conditions in  $H_0^2$  sense [3]. Moreover, we define the space  $S_h^*$  which is spanned by the basis  $\{\phi_i^*\}_{1 \leq i \leq n^*}$ , as the finite element subspace of  $H^2(\Omega)$ , not of  $H_0^2(\Omega)$ , satisfying  $S_h \subset S_h^*$  but  $S_h \neq S_h^*$ . Namely, the set of base functions  $\{\phi_i^*\}_{1 \leq i \leq n^*}$  consists of the elements in  $\{\phi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  and the Hermite spline functions corresponding to the

---

\*This work is supported by Kyushu University 21st Century COE Program, *Development of Dynamic Mathematics with High Functionality*, of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan. <sup>1</sup>mtnakao@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp, <sup>2</sup>hashimoto@amp.i.kyoto-u.ac.jp, <sup>3</sup>nagatou@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

boundary nodes(*cf.* [4]).

Next, we define the  $H_0^2$ -projection  $P_h : H_0^2(\Omega) \rightarrow S_h$  of  $v \in H_0^2(\Omega)$  by

$$(\Delta v - \Delta P_h v, \Delta \phi_h)_{L^2} = 0, \quad \forall \phi_h \in S_h.$$

Moreover, we also define the  $L^2$ -projection  $P_0 : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow S_h^*$  of  $v \in L^2(\Omega)$  by

$$(v - P_0 v, \phi_h^*)_{L^2} = 0, \quad \forall \phi_h^* \in S_h^*.$$

Let  $S_h^x$  and  $S_h^y$  denote the set of one dimensional Hermite spline functions on  $(0, 1)$  with homogeneous  $H_0^2$  boundary conditions in  $x$ - and  $y$ - directions, respectively. Then,  $S_h$  is represented as the tensor product  $S_h^x \otimes S_h^y$ . Similarly, we have  $S_h^* = S_h^{x*} \times S_h^{y*}$ , where  $S_h^{x*}$ ,  $S_h^{y*}$  are spaces of one dimensional spline functions without boundary functions. In what follows, a parameter  $h$  stands for the maximum mesh size of the partition of the interval  $(0, 1)$ .

In the  $x$ -direction, for  $w \in H_0^2(0, 1)$  and  $w \in L_2(0, 1)$ , we also define the projections  $P_2^x : H_0^2(0, 1) \rightarrow S_h^x$  and  $P_0^x : L_2(0, 1) \rightarrow S_h^{x*}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (D_x^2 w - D_x^2 P_2^x w, D_x^2 \varphi_h)_{L^2} &= 0, \quad \forall \varphi_h \in S_h^x, \\ &\text{and} \\ (w - P_0^x w, \varphi_h^*)_{L^2} &= 0, \quad \forall \varphi_h^* \in S_h^{x*}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. For  $P_2^y$  and  $P_0^y$ , analogously defined in the  $y$ -direction.

## 1.2 Motivation

Let  $u_h \in S_h$  be an approximate solution of (1.1) satisfying

$$(\Delta u_h, \Delta \phi_h)_{L^2} = (f, \phi_h)_{L^2} \quad \forall \phi_h \in S_h.$$

Then, note that we have  $u_h = P_h u$  by the definition and that the solution  $u$  of (1.1) belongs to  $H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)$ ([1]). Therefore, in what follows, we will discuss on the error estimates for the projection operator  $P_h$ .

We now assume the following a priori error estimates.

**Assumption 1** *For an arbitrary  $v \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)$ , there exists a constant  $C_0$  such that*

$$\|\Delta v - \Delta P_h v\|_{L^2} \leq C_0 h^2 \|\Delta^2 v\|_{L^2}.$$

Our main purpose of this paper is to find an a priori constant  $C_0$  in the assumption 1 by using guaranteed numerical computations on computer. And as a bi-product of the arguments, we also show a method to get an a posteriori error bound for the approximate solution of the equation (1.1). In the numerical verification method of solutions for two dimensional Navier-Stokes problems(e.g., [2]), we need to enclose a solution of bi-harmonic equations with guaranteed error bounds. In such a situation, the above constant and a posteriori error estimates for the finite element approximation play an essential and important role. The basic techniques used in the below are extension of the method in [4] to the bi-harmonic problem.

## 1.3 Preliminary results

We first introduce the following known results.

**Lemma 1** [3] For an arbitrary  $\psi \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)$ , it follows that

$$\|D_x^2\psi - D_x^2P_2^x\psi\|_{L^2} \leq Ch^2\|D_x^4\psi\|_{L^2}, \quad (1.2)$$

where the constant  $C$  can be taken as  $C = 1/\pi^2$ . Moreover, the estimate (1.2) is equivalent to the following inequality:

$$\|\psi - P_2^x\psi\|_{L^2} \leq Ch^2\|D_x^2\psi - D_x^2P_2^x\psi\|_{L^2}.$$

We now show the following inverse inequality for later use.

**Lemma 2** For  $\psi_h \in S_h$ , it follows that

$$\|D_x^2\psi_h\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{\kappa}{h^2}\|\psi_h\|_{L^2},$$

where  $\kappa = 20\sqrt{21} < 91.6516$ .

**Proof :** Note that it is sufficient to prove the concerning inequality only for one dimensional polynomial of degree 3 on the interval  $[0, h]$ . In [3], base functions for  $x$ -direction on  $[0, h]$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(x) &= (x-h)^2(2x+h)/h^3, & \varphi_2(x) &= x^2(3h-2x)/h^3, \\ \varphi_3(x) &= x(x-h)^2/h^2, & \varphi_4(x) &= x^2(x-h)/h^2, \end{aligned}$$

for  $x \in [0, h]$ . Hence, setting  $\varphi_h := a_1\varphi_1(x) + a_2\varphi_2(x) + a_3\varphi_3(x) + a_4\varphi_4(x)$  and using some guaranteed computations of eigenvalue bounds of a matrix, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|D_x^2\varphi_h\|_{L^2(0,h)}^2}{\|\varphi_h\|_{L^2(0,h)}^2} &= \frac{840}{h^4} \left[ \vec{a}^T \begin{pmatrix} 6 & -6 & 3 & 3 \\ -6 & 6 & -3 & -3 \\ 3 & -3 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & -3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \vec{a} \right] \cdot \left[ \vec{a}^T \begin{pmatrix} 156 & 54 & 22 & -13 \\ 54 & 156 & 13 & -22 \\ 22 & 13 & 4 & -3 \\ -13 & -22 & -3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \vec{a} \right]^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{8400}{h^4}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3h, a_4h)^T$ .

Here, in order to get the above bound, we used a direct calculation of the matrix eigenvalue. That is, denoting the first and the second matrices in the above by  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, let  $B = D^T D$  a Cholesky decomposition of  $B$ . Then, it is readily seen that the maximum eigenvalue of the symmetric matrix  $D^{-T}AD^{-1}$  presents a desired bound. By using a computer algebra system, we confirmed that it is equal to 10. Thus, we can take  $\kappa$  as  $\kappa = \sqrt{8400} = 20\sqrt{21}$ .  $\blacksquare$

## 2 Main Results

In this section, we show the constructive a priori and a posteriori error estimations for approximate solutions of the equation (1.1), which are equivalent to the same error estimates for  $H_0^2$ -projection of the exact solution.

Let define

$$v_h := P_h v \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \phi_i \in S_h \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\Delta v_h} := P_0 \Delta v_h \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n^*} a_i \phi_i^* \in S_h^*,$$

for an arbitrary  $v \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)$ .  
Then,  $\overline{\Delta v_h}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{\Delta v_h}, \phi_h^*)_{L^2} &= (\Delta v_h, \phi_h^*)_{L^2}, \\ &= -(\nabla v_h, \nabla \phi_h^*)_{L^2}, \quad \forall \phi_h^* \in S_h^*. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

And, for  $g \equiv \Delta^2 v \in L^2(\Omega)$ , we set

$$g_h \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \phi_i \in S_h,$$

so that

$$(g_h, \phi_h)_{L^2} = (g, \phi_h)_{L^2}, \quad \forall \phi_h \in S_h. \quad (2.2)$$

Moreover, setting  $n = \dim(S_h)$  and  $n^* = \dim(S_h^*)$ , we denote some matrices and vectors as

$$\begin{aligned} A_* &= (A_{ij}^*) = (\Delta \phi_j^*, \Delta \phi_i^*)_{L^2} \in R^{n^* \times n^*}, & A &= (A_{ij}) = (\Delta \phi_j, \Delta \phi_i)_{L^2} \in R^{n \times n}, \\ L_* &= (L_{ij}^*) = (\phi_j^*, \phi_i^*)_{L^2} \in R^{n^* \times n^*}, & L &= (L_{ij}) = (\phi_j, \phi_i)_{L^2} \in R^{n \times n}, \\ M &= (M_{ij}) = (\nabla \phi_j, \nabla \phi_i^*)_{L^2} \in R^{n^* \times n}, & N &= (N_{ij}) = (\nabla \phi_j^*, \nabla \phi_i)_{L^2} \in R^{n \times n^*}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{n^*})^T \in R^{n^*}, \quad \vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T, \quad \vec{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)^T \in R^n.$$

Notice that  $\|g\|_{L^2}^2 = \|g_h\|_{L^2}^2 + \|g - g_h\|_{L^2}^2$ . And, when we define the matrix  $Q \in R^{n \times n}$  such that  $L = QQ^T$ , it follows that  $\|Q^T \vec{g}\|_E = \|g_h\|_{L^2}$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_E$  means the Euclidean norm in  $R^n$ . Under the above notations, the functions  $v_h$  and  $\overline{\Delta v_h}$  are determined by solving the following matrix equations:

$$\begin{aligned} A \vec{v} &= L \vec{g}, \\ &\text{and} \\ L_* \vec{a} &= -M \vec{v}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Then, we have the following estimates.

**Lemma 3** *It follows that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta v_h - \overline{\Delta v_h}\|_{L^2} &\leq \mathbf{X} \|g_h\|_{L^2}, \\ \|g_h - \Delta \overline{\Delta v_h}\|_{L^2} &\leq \mathbf{Y} \|g_h\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{X} \equiv \|Q^{-1} X Q^{-T}\|_E^{1/2}$ ,  $\mathbf{Y} \equiv \|Q^{-1} Y Q^{-T}\|_E^{1/2}$ . Here, setting  $Z \equiv L_*^{-1} M A^{-1} L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} X &\equiv L A^{-1} L - Z^T L_* Z, \\ Y &\equiv L - N Z - Z^T N^T + Z^T A_* Z. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof :** First, for the estimate  $\|\Delta v_h - \overline{\Delta v_h}\|_{L^2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta v_h - \overline{\Delta v_h}\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|\Delta v_h\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\overline{\Delta v_h}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \vec{v}^T A \vec{v} - \vec{a}^T L_* \vec{a} \\ &= \vec{v}^T A \vec{v} - \vec{v}^T M^T L_*^{-1} M \vec{v} \\ &= \vec{g}^T (L A^{-1} L - L A^{-1} M^T L_*^{-1} M A^{-1} L) \vec{g}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, for the estimate  $\|g_h - \Delta \overline{\Delta v_h}\|_{L^2}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g_h - \Delta \overline{\Delta v_h}\|_{L^2}^2 &= (g_h, g_h)_{L^2} - (g_h, \Delta \overline{\Delta v_h})_{L^2} - (\Delta \overline{\Delta v_h}, g_h)_{L^2} + (\Delta \overline{\Delta v_h}, \Delta \overline{\Delta v_h})_{L^2} \\
&= (g_h, g_h)_{L^2} + (\nabla g_h, \nabla \overline{\Delta v_h})_{L^2} + (\nabla \overline{\Delta v_h}, \nabla g_h)_{L^2} + (\Delta \overline{\Delta v_h}, \Delta \overline{\Delta v_h})_{L^2} \\
&= \vec{g}^T L \vec{g} + \vec{g}^T N \vec{a} + \vec{a}^T N^T \vec{g} + \vec{a}^T A_* \vec{a} \\
&= \vec{g}^T L \vec{g} - \vec{g}^T N L_*^{-1} M \vec{v} - \vec{v}^T M^T L_*^{-1} N^T \vec{g} + \vec{a}^T M^T L_*^{-1} A_* L_*^{-1} M \vec{v} \\
&= \vec{g}^T (L - N L_*^{-1} M A^{-1} L - L A^{-1} M^T L_*^{-1} N^T + L A^{-1} M^T L_*^{-1} A_* L_*^{-1} M A^{-1} L) \vec{g}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by the above definitions of matrices  $X, Y$  and  $Z$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta v_h - \overline{\Delta v_h}\|_{L^2}^2 &= \vec{g}^T X \vec{g}, \\
\|g_h - \Delta \overline{\Delta v_h}\|_{L^2}^2 &= \vec{g}^T Y \vec{g},
\end{aligned}$$

which prove the lemma taking account that  $\|Q^T \vec{g}\|_E = \|g_h\|_{L^2}$ . ■

**Lemma 4** For an arbitrary  $\psi \in H_0^2(\Omega)$ , it follows that

$$\|\Delta \psi - \Delta P_0^x P_0^y \psi\|_{L^2} \leq K \|\Delta \psi\|_{L^2},$$

where  $K = \left(2 + 2(C\kappa + 1)^2\right)^{1/2}$ , the constants  $C$  and  $\kappa$  are the same as in Lemma 1 and 2, respectively.

**Proof :** From the lemma 1 and 2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_x^2(\psi - P_2^x \psi) - D_x^2(P_0^x \psi - P_0^x P_2^x \psi)\|_{L^2} &\leq \|D_x^2(\psi - P_2^x \psi)\|_{L^2} + \|D_x^2(P_0^x(\psi - P_0^x P_2^x \psi))\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \|D_x^2 \psi\|_{L^2} + \frac{\kappa}{h^2} \|P_0^x(\psi - P_2^x \psi)\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \|D_x^2 \psi\|_{L^2} + \frac{\kappa}{h^2} \|\psi - P_2^x \psi\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \|D_x^2 \psi\|_{L^2} + Ch^2 \frac{\kappa}{h^2} \|D_x^2 \psi\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq (C\kappa + 1) \|D_x^2 \psi\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_x^2(\psi - P_0^x P_0^y \psi)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|D_x^2(\psi - P_0^y \psi) + D_x^2(P_0^y \psi - P_0^y P_0^x \psi)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= \|D_x^2(\psi - P_0^y \psi)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|D_x^2(P_0^y(\psi - P_0^x \psi))\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \|D_x^2 \psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|D_x^2(\psi - P_0^x \psi)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \|D_x^2 \psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|D_x^2(\psi - P_2^x \psi) - D_x^2(P_0^x \psi - P_0^x P_2^x \psi)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \left(1 + (C\kappa + 1)^2\right) \|D_x^2 \psi\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the result just above to obtain the last right-hand side. Similarly, it follows that

$$\|D_y^2 \psi - D_y^2 P_0^x P_0^y \psi\|_{L^2} \leq \left(1 + (C\kappa + 1)^2\right)^{1/2} \|D_y^2 \psi\|_{L^2}.$$

Hence, we have the following estimation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta \psi - \Delta P_0^x P_0^y \psi\|_{L^2} &\leq \|D_x^2(\psi - P_0^x P_0^y \psi)\|_{L^2} + \|D_y^2(\psi - P_0^x P_0^y \psi)\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \left(1 + (C^2\kappa + 1)^2\right)^{1/2} (\|D_x^2 \psi\|_{L^2} + \|D_y^2 \psi\|_{L^2}) \\
&\leq \left(2 + 2(C\kappa + 1)^2\right)^{1/2} (\|D_x^2 \psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|D_y^2 \psi\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \left(2 + 2(C\kappa + 1)^2\right)^{1/2} \|\Delta \psi\|_{L^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where, in order to derive the last inequality, we have used the well known equality  $\|\Delta\psi\|_{L^2}^2 = \|D_x^2\psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|D_y^2\psi\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|D_{xy}\psi\|_{L^2}^2$  for any  $\psi \in H_0^2(\Omega)$  on an arbitrary domain  $\Omega$ . Therefore, we obtain the constant  $K$  as in the lemma.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 5** For an arbitrary  $\psi \in H_0^2(\Omega)$ , it follows that

$$\|\psi - P_0^x P_0^y \psi\|_{L^2} \leq Ch^2 \|\Delta\psi\|_{L^2},$$

where the constant  $C$  is the same as in Lemma 1.

**Proof :** From the lemma 1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi - P_0^x P_0^y \psi\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|\psi - P_0^x \psi + P_0^x \psi - P_0^x P_0^y \psi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \|\psi - P_0^x \psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|P_0^x(\psi - P_0^y \psi)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \|\psi - P_0^x \psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\psi - P_0^y \psi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \|\psi - P_2^x \psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\psi - P_2^y \psi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C^2 h^4 \|D_x^2 \psi\|_{L^2}^2 + C^2 h^4 \|D_y^2 \psi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C^2 h^4 \|\Delta\psi\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

Now, we show the following two main results of this paper.

**Theorem 1** (constructive a priori error estimates) The constant  $C_0$  in Assumption 1 can be taken as

$$C_0 = C \cdot \left[ (K\mathbf{X}/(Ch^2) + \mathbf{Y})^2 + 1 \right]^{1/2},$$

where the constants  $\mathbf{X}$ ,  $\mathbf{Y}$ ,  $C$  and  $K$  are defined in the previous lemmas 1, 3 and 4.

**Theorem 2** (a posteriori error estimates) For any  $v \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)$ , let  $v_h := P_h v \in S_h$  and  $\overline{\Delta v_h} := P_0 \Delta v_h \in S_h^*$ . Then, it follows that

$$\|\Delta v - \Delta v_h\|_{L^2} \leq K \|\Delta v_h - \overline{\Delta v_h}\|_{L^2} + Ch^2 \|\Delta^2 v - \Delta \overline{\Delta v_h}\|_{L^2},$$

where  $C$  and  $K$  are defined in the lemmas 1 and 4.

**Proof :** (proof of Theorem 1 and 2)

First, for an arbitrary  $\psi \in H_0^2(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{\psi}_0 \in S_h$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta v - \Delta v_h, \Delta\psi)_{L^2} &= (\Delta v - \Delta v_h, \Delta\psi - \Delta\tilde{\psi}_0)_{L^2} \\ &= (\Delta v - \Delta v_h, \Delta\psi - \Delta\tilde{\psi}_0)_{L^2} + (\overline{\Delta v_h}, \Delta\psi - \Delta\tilde{\psi}_0)_{L^2} - (\Delta \overline{\Delta v_h}, \psi - \tilde{\psi}_0)_{L^2} \\ &= (\overline{\Delta v_h} - \Delta v_h, \Delta\psi - \Delta\tilde{\psi}_0)_{L^2} + (\Delta^2 v - \Delta \overline{\Delta v_h}, \psi - \tilde{\psi}_0)_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|\Delta v_h - \overline{\Delta v_h}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta\psi - \Delta\tilde{\psi}_0\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta^2 v - \Delta \overline{\Delta v_h}\|_{L^2} \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}_0\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, setting  $\psi := v - v_h \in H_0^2(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{\psi}_0 \equiv P_0^x P_0^y \psi \in S_h$ , from the lemmas 4 and 5, we obtain the desired estimates in Theorem 2.

Next, using Theorem 2, Lemma 3 and the property of the  $L^2$ -projection, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta v - \Delta v_h\|_{L^2} &\leq K \|\Delta v_h - \overline{\Delta v_h}\|_{L^2} + Ch^2 \|g - \Delta \overline{\Delta v_h}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq K \|\Delta v_h - \overline{\Delta v_h}\|_{L^2} + Ch^2 (\|g_h - \Delta \overline{\Delta v_h}\|_{L^2} + \|g - g_h\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq (K\mathbf{X} + Ch^2 \mathbf{Y}) \|g_h\|_{L^2} + Ch^2 \|g - g_h\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \left[ (K\mathbf{X} + Ch^2 \mathbf{Y})^2 + C^2 h^4 \right]^{1/2} \|g\|_{L^2} \\ &= \left[ (K\mathbf{X}/h^2 + C\mathbf{Y})^2 + C^2 \right]^{1/2} h^2 \|g\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which immediately completes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

### 3 Numerical examples

In this section, we present some numerical examples of a priori and a posteriori error estimates for the approximation of the bi-harmonic problem (1.1). Since the finite element solution  $u_h \in S_h$  of (1.1) is defined by

$$(\Delta u_h, \Delta \phi_h)_{L^2} = (f, \phi_h)_{L^2} \quad \forall \phi_h \in S_h,$$

we have  $u_h = P_h u$ , and thus the above arguments can be applied to the error estimates for this approximate solution  $u_h$ . That is, using the procedure in the previous section to define  $\overline{\Delta u_h} \equiv P_0 \Delta u_h \in S_h^*$ , we obtain the a priori and a posteriori error estimates of the form

$$\|\Delta u - \Delta u_h\|_{L^2} \leq C_0 h^2 \|f\|_{L^2}, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\|\Delta u - \Delta u_h\|_{L^2} \leq K \|\Delta u_h - \overline{\Delta u_h}\|_{L^2} + C h^2 \|f - \Delta \overline{\Delta u_h}\|_{L^2}, \quad (3.2)$$

respectively. Here, constants  $C_0$ ,  $K$  and  $C$  are same as in theorems in Section 2.

We first show several computational results for the constructive a priori constants in Theorem 1 by Table 1.

Table 1: Numerical results for the a priori constant

$1/h$	$C_0$	<b>X</b>	<b>Y</b>	$C_0 h^2$	$C_0/C$
10	0.7225	3.6718e-4	1.7641	7.2256e-3	7.1314
20	0.7377	9.3350e-5	1.8257	1.8443e-3	7.2812
30	0.7611	4.3440e-5	1.8057	8.4573e-4	7.5123
40	0.7811	2.5416e-5	1.7780	4.8819e-4	7.7093
50	0.7967	1.6764e-5	1.7536	3.1868e-4	7.8633
60	0.8091	1.1918e-5	1.7341	2.2477e-4	7.9862
70	0.8193	8.9195e-6	1.7195	1.6720e-4	8.0864
80	0.8278	6.9315e-6	1.7095	1.2934e-4	8.1703
90	0.8356	5.5486e-6	1.7036	1.0317e-4	8.2478
100	0.8418	4.5390e-6	1.7006	8.4185e-5	8.3087

The constant  $C$  in the table is taken as  $C = 1/\pi^2$ .

Next, we present some examples of the a posteriori error for the following bi-harmonic problem.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 u &= f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \partial_n u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\Omega = (0, 1)^2$  and

$$\begin{aligned} f \equiv f(x, y) &= 8(3x^2(1-x)^2 + xy(x-1)(y-1)(2x-1)(2y-1) + 3y^2(1-y)^2), \\ \|f\|_{L^2} &= \frac{4}{5} \sqrt{\frac{62}{7}} < 2.3809. \end{aligned}$$




The exact solution of (3.3) is given by  $u \equiv u(x, y) = x^2 y^2 (1-x)^2 (1-y)^2$ .

Table 2 shows numerical results for the a priori and a posteriori error estimates in (3.1) and (3.2), respectively.

Table 2: Numerical results for the a priori and a posteriori estimates in (3.1) and (3.2)

$1/h$	(3.1)	(3.2)	$\ \Delta u - \Delta u_h\ _{L^2}$	$\ \Delta u_h - \overline{\Delta u_h}\ _{L^2}$	$\ f - \Delta \overline{\Delta u_h}\ _{L^2}$
10	1.7201e-2	7.8301e-3	5.0527e-4	4.4700e-4	1.2798
20	4.3909e-3	1.9680e-3	1.2595e-4	1.1897e-4	0.9047
30	2.0134e-3	8.7011e-4	5.5883e-5	5.3843e-5	0.7385
40	1.1623e-3	4.8659e-4	3.1376e-5	3.0521e-5	0.6394
50	7.5873e-4	3.0962e-4	2.0034e-5	1.9598e-5	0.5716
60	5.3510e-4	2.1363e-4	1.3863e-5	1.3611e-5	0.5215
70	3.9809e-4	1.5609e-4	1.0154e-5	9.9966e-6	0.4826
80	3.0795e-4	1.1870e-4	7.7390e-6	7.6331e-6	0.4511
90	2.4561e-4	9.3310e-5	6.0946e-6	6.0203e-6	0.4250
100	2.0042e-4	7.5133e-5	4.9152e-6	4.8611e-6	0.4029



All computations in tables are carried out on the Dell Precision 650 Workstation Intel Xeon Dual CPU 3.20GHz by MATLAB.

## References

- [1] V.A. Kondratiev, Boundary problems for elliptic equations in domains with conical or angular points, *Tran. Moscow Math. Soc.* **16** (1967), 227-313.
- [2] K. Nagatou, K. Hashimoto, M.T. Nakao, Numerical verification of stationary solutions for Navier-Stokes problems, *MHF Preprint Series*, Kyushu University, MHF 2005-30.
- [3] M.H. Schultz; *Spline Analysis*. Prentice-Hall, London, 1973.
- [4] N. Yamamoto, M.T. Nakao; Numerical verifications of solutions for elliptic equations in nonconvex polygonal domains, *Numer. Math.* **65** (1993), 503-521.

# List of MHF Preprint Series, Kyushu University

## 21st Century COE Program

### Development of Dynamic Mathematics with High Functionality

- MHF2003-1 Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO, Kouji HASHIMOTO & Yoshitaka WATANABE  
A numerical method to verify the invertibility of linear elliptic operators with applications to nonlinear problems
- MHF2003-2 Masahisa TABATA & Daisuke TAGAMI  
Error estimates of finite element methods for nonstationary thermal convection problems with temperature-dependent coefficients
- MHF2003-3 Tomohiro ANDO, Sadanori KONISHI & Seiya IMOTO  
Adaptive learning machines for nonlinear classification and Bayesian information criteria
- MHF2003-4 Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA  
On systems of algebraic equations with parametric exponents
- MHF2003-5 Masao ISHIKAWA & Masato WAKAYAMA  
Applications of Minor Summation Formulas III, Plücker relations, Lattice paths and Pfaffian identities
- MHF2003-6 Atsushi SUZUKI & Masahisa TABATA  
Finite element matrices in congruent subdomains and their effective use for large-scale computations
- MHF2003-7 Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
Stochastic oscillatory integrals - asymptotic and exact expressions for quadratic phase functions -
- MHF2003-8 Shoki MIYAMOTO & Atsushi YOSHIKAWA  
Computable sequences in the Sobolev spaces
- MHF2003-9 Toru FUJII & Takashi YANAGAWA  
Wavelet based estimate for non-linear and non-stationary auto-regressive model
- MHF2003-10 Atsushi YOSHIKAWA  
Maple and wave-front tracking — an experiment
- MHF2003-11 Masanobu KANEKO  
On the local factor of the zeta function of quadratic orders
- MHF2003-12 Hidefumi KAWASAKI  
Conjugate-set game for a nonlinear programming problem

- MHF2004-1 Koji YONEMOTO & Takashi YANAGAWA  
Estimating the Lyapunov exponent from chaotic time series with dynamic noise
- MHF2004-2 Rui YAMAGUCHI, Eiko TSUCHIYA & Tomoyuki HIGUCHI  
State space modeling approach to decompose daily sales of a restaurant into time-dependent multi-factors
- MHF2004-3 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Cubic pencils and Painlevé Hamiltonians
- MHF2004-4 Atsushi KAWAGUCHI, Koji YONEMOTO & Takashi YANAGAWA  
Estimating the correlation dimension from a chaotic system with dynamic noise
- MHF2004-5 Atsushi KAWAGUCHI, Kentarou KITAMURA, Koji YONEMOTO, Takashi YANAGAWA & Kiyofumi YUMOTO  
Detection of auroral breakups using the correlation dimension
- MHF2004-6 Ryo IKOTA, Masayasu MIMURA & Tatsuyuki NAKAKI  
A methodology for numerical simulations to a singular limit
- MHF2004-7 Ryo IKOTA & Eiji YANAGIDA  
Stability of stationary interfaces of binary-tree type
- MHF2004-8 Yuko ARAKI, Sadanori KONISHI & Seiya IMOTO  
Functional discriminant analysis for gene expression data via radial basis expansion
- MHF2004-9 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Hypergeometric solutions to the  $q$ -Painlevé equations
- MHF2004-10 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Expressions for values of the gamma function
- MHF2004-11 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Transformations of Gauss hypergeometric functions
- MHF2004-12 Koji NAKAGAWA & Masakazu SUZUKI  
Mathematical knowledge browser
- MHF2004-13 Ken-ichi MARUNO, Wen-Xiu MA & Masayuki OIKAWA  
Generalized Casorati determinant and Positon-Negaton-Type solutions of the Toda lattice equation
- MHF2004-14 Nalini JOSHI, Kenji KAJIWARA & Marta MAZZOCCO  
Generating function associated with the determinant formula for the solutions of the Painlevé II equation

- MHF2004-15 Kouji HASHIMOTO, Ryohei ABE, Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO & Yoshitaka WATANABE  
Numerical verification methods of solutions for nonlinear singularly perturbed problem
- MHF2004-16 Ken-ichi MARUNO & Gino BIONDINI  
Resonance and web structure in discrete soliton systems: the two-dimensional Toda lattice and its fully discrete and ultra-discrete versions
- MHF2004-17 Ryuei NISHII & Shinto EGUCHI  
Supervised image classification in Markov random field models with Jeffreys divergence
- MHF2004-18 Kouji HASHIMOTO, Kenta KOBAYASHI & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO  
Numerical verification methods of solutions for the free boundary problem
- MHF2004-19 Hiroki MASUDA  
Ergodicity and exponential  $\beta$ -mixing bounds for a strong solution of Lévy-driven stochastic differential equations
- MHF2004-20 Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
The Brownian sheet and the reflectionless potentials
- MHF2004-21 Ryuei NISHII & Shinto EGUCHI  
Supervised image classification based on AdaBoost with contextual weak classifiers
- MHF2004-22 Hideki KOSAKI  
On intersections of domains of unbounded positive operators
- MHF2004-23 Masahisa TABATA & Shoichi FUJIMA  
Robustness of a characteristic finite element scheme of second order in time increment
- MHF2004-24 Ken-ichi MARUNO, Adrian ANKIEWICZ & Nail AKHMEDIEV  
Dissipative solitons of the discrete complex cubic-quintic Ginzburg-Landau equation
- MHF2004-25 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Degenerate Gauss hypergeometric functions
- MHF2004-26 Ryo IKOTA  
The boundedness of propagation speeds of disturbances for reaction-diffusion systems
- MHF2004-27 Ryusuke KON  
Convex dominates concave: an exclusion principle in discrete-time Kolmogorov systems

- MHF2004-28 Ryusuke KON  
Multiple attractors in host-parasitoid interactions: coexistence and extinction
- MHF2004-29 Kentaro IHARA, Masanobu KANEKO & Don ZAGIER  
Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values
- MHF2004-30 Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI  
Generalized partitioned quantum cellular automata and quantization of classical CA
- MHF2005-1 Hideki KOSAKI  
Matrix trace inequalities related to uncertainty principle
- MHF2005-2 Masahisa TABATA  
Discrepancy between theory and real computation on the stability of some finite element schemes
- MHF2005-3 Yuko ARAKI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Functional regression modeling via regularized basis expansions and model selection
- MHF2005-4 Yuko ARAKI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Functional discriminant analysis via regularized basis expansions
- MHF2005-5 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Point configurations, Cremona transformations and the elliptic difference Painlevé equations
- MHF2005-6 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Construction of hypergeometric solutions to the  $q$  Painlevé equations
- MHF2005-7 Hiroki MASUDA  
Simple estimators for non-linear Markovian trend from sampled data:  
I. ergodic cases
- MHF2005-8 Hiroki MASUDA & Nakahiro YOSHIDA  
Edgeworth expansion for a class of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck-based models
- MHF2005-9 Masayuki UCHIDA  
Approximate martingale estimating functions under small perturbations of dynamical systems
- MHF2005-10 Ryo MATSUZAKI & Masayuki UCHIDA  
One-step estimators for diffusion processes with small dispersion parameters from discrete observations
- MHF2005-11 Junichi MATSUKUBO, Ryo MATSUZAKI & Masayuki UCHIDA  
Estimation for a discretely observed small diffusion process with a linear drift

- MHF2005-12 Masayuki UCHIDA & Nakahiro YOSHIDA  
AIC for ergodic diffusion processes from discrete observations
- MHF2005-13 Hiromichi GOTO & Kenji KAJIWARA  
Generating function related to the Okamoto polynomials for the Painlevé IV equation
- MHF2005-14 Masato KIMURA & Shin-ichi NAGATA  
Precise asymptotic behaviour of the first eigenvalue of Sturm-Liouville problems with large drift
- MHF2005-15 Daisuke TAGAMI & Masahisa TABATA  
Numerical computations of a melting glass convection in the furnace
- MHF2005-16 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Normalized Leonard pairs and Askey-Wilson relations
- MHF2005-17 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Askey-Wilson relations and Leonard pairs
- MHF2005-18 Kenji KAJIWARA & Atsushi MUKAIHIRA  
Soliton solutions for the non-autonomous discrete-time Toda lattice equation
- MHF2005-19 Yuu HARIYA  
Construction of Gibbs measures for 1-dimensional continuum fields
- MHF2005-20 Yuu HARIYA  
Integration by parts formulae for the Wiener measure restricted to subsets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$
- MHF2005-21 Yuu HARIYA  
A time-change approach to Kotani's extension of Yor's formula
- MHF2005-22 Tadahisa FUNAKI, Yuu HARIYA & Mark YOR  
Wiener integrals for centered powers of Bessel processes, I
- MHF2005-23 Masahisa TABATA & Satoshi KAIZU  
Finite element schemes for two-fluids flow problems
- MHF2005-24 Ken-ichi MARUNO & Yasuhiro OHTA  
Determinant form of dark soliton solutions of the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation
- MHF2005-25 Alexander V. KITAEV & Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Quadratic transformations of the sixth Painlevé equation
- MHF2005-26 Toru FUJII & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear regression modeling via regularized wavelets and smoothing parameter selection

- MHF2005-27 Shuichi INOKUCHI, Kazumasa HONDA, Hyen Yeal LEE, Tatsuro SATO,  
Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI & Yasuo KAWAHARA  
On reversible cellular automata with finite cell array
- MHF2005-28 Toru KOMATSU  
Cyclic cubic field with explicit Artin symbols
- MHF2005-29 Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO, Kouji HASHIMOTO & Kaori NAGATOU  
A computational approach to constructive a priori and a posteriori error  
estimates for finite element approximations of bi-harmonic problems